COMPLIANCE WEEK

Remediation Center: Tying SOX Compliance to Compensation

By Lee Barken - April 15, 2008

At the request of subscribers, Compliance Week offers a Remediation Center, in which readers can submit questions—anonymously—to securities and accounting experts. Compliance Week's editors will review all questions and then submit them—confidentially, of course—to pecialists who can address the issues. The questions and responses will then be reprinted in a future edition of Compliance Week. Below is one of the Q&As; ask your own questions by clicking here.

A business unit has tied individual performance goals for its division controllers to zero deficiencies for the annual SOX testing; failure to meet performance goals may result in a reduced salary increase for the next year. I see this as an indirect control issue with potential positive and negative consequences-much more negative than positive.

For example, would a policy like this lead to a greater focus on internal controls with a reduced rate of SOX test failures? Or would there be pressure to cover up control deficiencies that may still exist because of the greater focus?

The COSO framework warns of "pressure to meet unrealistic performance targets ... and the extent to which compensation is based on achieving those performance targets." Well, I'd expect that the scope of testing could be expanded or curtailed depending on the perceived impact of this type of incentive. Are there any studies, standards, or best practices on this?

ANSWER

To your question about how division controllers might be influenced by financial rewards or penalties, consider two cautionary factors. First, how material is the reward? A 50 percent salary bonus will probably be more influential than the promise of a celebratory lunch

with wall plaques for everybody on the team. Second, how realistic is the goal? Your leadership team likely subscribes to the "Management by Objectives" (MBO) philosophy, as outlined by Peter Drucker in his 1954 book, "The Practice of Management." Setting goals can be a great management tool. But at your company, are zero deficiencies even a realistic objective?

As an auditor, I can tell you that any time an incentive-based system is discovered, we tend to look a little closer. For example, if a sales manager receives a bonus for achieving certain sales goals, we dig a little deeper to see if the sales process has appropriate segregation-of-duties controls in place.

Ultimately, your question boils down to one of ethics and integrity; it may even call into question the "tone at the top" of your company. Poorly chosen incentives have the unfortunate byproduct of placing additional stresses on corporate internal control structures. At some point, the people in your organization will be faced with a challenging crossroads where they will choose to flex their ethics muscles or succumb to lapses in judgment. In your case, when faced with a performance goal of zero deficiencies, a financial incentive will either encourage employees to work extraordinarily hard to achieve zero deficiencies, or work extraordinarily hard to circumvent controls and create the appearance of achieving zero deficiencies. Will your employees feel pressure to work the extra hours, or will they feel pressure to fabricate evidence and lie to auditors?

In 1987, when a judge sentenced Barry Minkow, founder of ZZZZ Best Carpet Cleaning, on 54 fraud convictions, the judge pointed to a stack of some 22,000 painstakingly manipulated documents and told the young entrepreneur that if he had spent half as much time focused on growing his business instead of forging documents, then he might not have found himself facing a 25-year prison sentence.

Sadly, there is no foolproof audit test to determine an employee's inclination toward honesty or his ability to resist temptation. Since each individual reacts to incentives differently, it's important to tread carefully in your situation. Still, just because an employee gets a paycheck and therefore has an incentive to get out of bed in the morning, that doesn't automatically mean that the employee is predisposed to act unethically.

To some extent, every CFO has pressure to manage earnings (with or without formal bonus plans in place). Therefore, as auditors, it is our duty to exercise professional skepticism in everything we do. That's not to say that we think everybody is acting unethically just because there is a financial incentive. An environment with unrealistic and unusually aggressive incentive structures, however, will certainly warrant further scrutiny.

ABOUT THE EXPERT

Lee Barken is the IT practice leader at Haskell & White, an accounting and auditing firm with auditing firm with
Barken offices in San Diego
and Orange County, Calif. Prior to
Haskell & White, he worked as an
IT consultant and network security

specialist for Ernst & Young's information technology risk management practice and KPMG's risk and advisory services practice

Lee writes and speaks on the topic of IT audit compliance, Sarbanes-colley, enterprise security, wireless LAN technology, and computer forensics. He is the author of How Secure Is Your Wireless Network? Safeguarding your WHF LAN (Prentice Hall, 2003), and Wireless Hacking: Projects for WHF Enthinsiant Compress 2004). You Enthusiasts (Syngress, 2004). You can reach him at 858-350-4215 or lbarken@hwcpa.com.